Fox Hill Elementary School - Burlington, MA

		Favorable     O     Neutral						0	Unfavorable
		Proposed Concepts							
	Evaluation criteria based upon priorities	Option 1 Fox Hill School Only (325 Students) Code Upgrades + Repairs no addition	Option 2 Fox Hill School Only (325 Students) Renovation + Addition	Option 3 Fox Hill School Only (325 Students) Full demo + new construction	Option 4 Fox Hill School Site (640 Students) Code Upgrades + Repairs no addition	Option 5 Fox Hill School Site (640 Students) Renovation + addition	Option 6 Fox Hill School Site (640 Students) Full demo + new construction	Option 7 Pine Glen School Site (640 Students) Renovation + addition	Option 8 Pine Glen School Site (640 Students) Full demo + new construction
С	oncept Facts								
1	Size of site (acres)	37.90 ac	37.90 ac	37.90 ac	37.90 ac	37.90 ac	37.90 ac	11.80 ac.	11.80 ac.
2	Usable size of site (acres)	17.90 ac	17.90 ac	17.90 ac	17.90 ac	17.90 ac	17.90 ac	9.6 ac.	9.6 ac.
3	Site environmental (wetlands, etc)	20.00 ac	20.00 ac	20.00 ac	20.00 ac	20.00 ac	20.00 ac	2.20 ac	2.20 ac
4	Classroom count	18	18	18	18	36	36	36	36
5 6	Building gross square feet (GSF) Net Zero Capability	64,400 SF	100,000 SF	92,000 SF	64,400 SF	160,000 SF	152,000 SF	160,000 SF	152,000 SF
E	quity to Other Elementary Schools								
1	Equity between Fox Hill and Pine Glen								
2	Equity among all elementary schools								
С	ost and Schedule								
1	Project Cost, \$million								
2	Cost to the Town								
3	District's annual operating expenses								
4	Allows students to move in to new school 2028				<u> </u>				
5	Requires swing space					L			
1									
1	Meets educational program for all students + design enrollment Size of spaces	1					1		
2	Optimizes configuration and adjacency of teaching spaces								
3	Educational program flexibility								
4	Provides outdoor learning opportunities								
5	Provides flexibility for future growth								
6	Provides flexibility for fluctuation of grade cohort sizes								
7	Allows for efficient program design layout								
0	Minimizes school disruption								
1	uilding Allows for a contextually sensitive design								
2	Allows efficient attainment of Green School/Stretch Code requirements								
3	Optimizes use of natural light and daylighting								
4	Optimizes connection of outdoor/indoor space, integration with site								
5	Meets ADA requirements efficiently Addresses all outdated elementary schools								
0	te								
1	Maximizes efficient utilization of site								
2	Provides additional space for Town recreation								
3	Optimizes outdoor program space and green space								
4	Optimizes safety and efficiency of on site drop off								
5	Separates safe circulation of bus, vehicle, pedestrian and bike access								
6	Provides sufficient parking for teachers, staff + visitors								
7	Improves off site traffic impact								
8	Improves pedestrian safety and access Allows for future expansion								
	ustainability		·			l			
1	Ease of maintenance / maintenance costs								
2	Site requirements								
3	Cost								
4	Optimizes solar (PV) opportunities								
5	Minimizes embodied carbon footprint with building reuse Achieves Town goal for fossil free building HVAC systems				<u> </u>				
7	Optimizes building orientation							<u> </u>	
8	Allows efficient attainment of Green School/Stretch Code requirements								
9	Optimizes building envelope thermal performance								
С	onstruction impacts / cost								
1	Building construction cost								
2	Site construction cost								
3	Construction Duration impact								
4	School disruption impacts Construction impacts on abutters								
0	perational costs					L			
1	Life Cycle Cost Analysis (LCCA)								
2	Annual Operating Cost								
3	Cost for solar as it relates to operating cost (annual)								
С	ommunity								
1	Provides additional community/school asset								